

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addease COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.webjo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/743,857	12/22/2003	Randolf Von Oepen	17601.41a.1.1.1.3	9100
57300   7826   68262099   EXAMENI			INER	
			BUI, VY Q	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,		3773	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/26/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Interview Summary	10/743,857	VON OEPEN ET AL.					
interview Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Vy Q. Bui	3773					
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):							
(1) <u>Vy Q. Bui</u> .	(3)						
(2) Rick D. Nydegger.	(4)						
Date of Interview: 24 August 2009.							
Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c) ☑ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☑ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]							
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No. If Yes, brief description:							
Claim(s) discussed: independent claims 36, 50, 64 and 76.							
Identification of prior art discussed: <u>Trapp-5.861,027; Ballou-6.071,308 and Thompson-6,132,460</u> .							
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) № N/A.							
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: porposed independent claims 64 and 76, which are generic to the figures disclosed (as for example F. 5 and F. 8A) appeared to define over the art of record. Independent claims 36 and 50, which read on F. 8A, also appeared to define over the art of record. Independent claims 36 and 50, which read on F. 8A, also appeared to define over the art. Examiner will determine allowability of all claims after the formal amendment is received and the search is updated.  (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)  THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filled, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.							
Ny Q. Bui/	8/24/2009						
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3773  U.S. Patent and Trademark Office	200						